

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/687,278	AJELLO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mathieu D. Vargot	1732	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Mathieu D. Vargot.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Gorman (Atty).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 December 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
Those in case

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Gorman agreed to limit all claims to include the aspects of releasing the lens from a mold using a cryogenic substance and without the application of external force to the lens, such allowable over the prior art--see attached Examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)